

Inorganica Chimica Acta 228 (1995) 127-131

A systematic method of promoting an aryl fluoride to coordinate to ruthenium(II)

Sarath D. Perera, Bernard L. Shaw

School of Chemistry, University of Leeds, Leeds LS2 9JT, UK

Received 7 April 1994; revised 30 May 1994

Abstract

The phosphino hydrazone Z-PPh₂CH₂C(Bu¹)=NNH₂ (1) condenses with 2,6-difluorobenzaldehyde to give Z, E-PPh₂CH₂C(Bu¹)=N-N=CH(C₆H₃F₂-2,6) (2a), which reacts rapidly with the labile ruthenium(II) complex [RuCl₂(PPh₃)₃] to give *mer, trans*-[RuCl₂(PPh₃){PPh₂CH₂C(Bu¹)=N-N=CH(C₆H₃F₂-2,6)] (3a). In the ¹⁹F{¹H} NMR spectrum of 3a the fluorines are coupled equally to P_A but are not coupled to P_B. In the ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectrum, P_A is coupled equally to both fluorines, i.e. there is a dynamic system with both fluorines coordinated to ruthenium in turn and coupled to P_A, ²J(P_AF)=68 Hz. Similar condensations of 1 with other fluorine-substituted benzaldehydes, viz. pentafluorobenzaldehyde, 2-chloro-6-fluorobenzaldehyde, 2-fluorobenzaldehyde, 2,3-difluorobenzaldehyde, gave mixed azine phosphines. In each case these mixed azine phosphines when treated with [RuCl₂(PPh₃)₃], displaced two triphenylphosphines to give terdentate chelates showing fluorine coordination to ruthenium. Proton, ³¹P{¹H} and ¹⁹F{¹H} NMR data are given and discussed.

Keywords: Ruthenium complexes; Tridentate ligand complexes; Aryl fluoride complexes

1. Introduction

The halogen of an organic halide or halocarbon is a relatively weak donor atom compared with other donor atoms such as nitrogen, phosphorus, sulfur etc. The first example of halogen coordination from an organic halide was with 1,2-di-iodobenzene, which chelates to iridium(III) in $[IrH_2(PPh_3)_2(C_6H_4I_2-I,I')]SbF_6$ and was prepared by displacing two acetone ligands from $[IrH_2(PPh_3)_2(O=CMe_2)_2]SbF_6$ [1]. It was later found that methyl iodide would not displace acetone but [IrH₂(PPh₃)₂(IMe)₂]SbF₆ could be prepared by hydrogenating $[(cod)Ir(PPh_3)_2]SbF_6$ in the presence of an excess of MeI [2]. Various ways of synthesising halocarbon-metal complexes have been devised, and the subject was reviewed in 1990 [3]. Other recent publications on organic halide-metal complexes are with Re(I) [4], Fe(II) [5], Ru(II) [5], Pt(II) [6] and Mo(II) [7].

Fluorocarbon-metal complexes in which fluorine is coordinated to the metal are rare [3]. Probably the first example of an aryl fluoride-metal interaction comes from the crystal structure of $[NBu_4]_2[Pt_2Ag_2Cl_4(C_6F_5)_4]$ although the authors commented that the Ag-F interaction "does not approach true bond formation" [8]. Another example of coordination of an aryl fluoride, based on a crystal structure determination, is with pentafluorophenyl thiolate complex of ruthenium(III), viz. [Ru(PMe₂Ph)₂(C₆F₅S-S)₂(C₆F₅S-S,F) [9]. The first example of interaction in solution was [IrH₂(PPh₃)₂(8fluoroquinoline)][SbF₆] [10] which showed spin-spin coupling between fluorine and the hydride ligand in *trans* position, ²J(FH *trans*)=95 Hz, whilst ²J(FH *cis*)= ~0 Hz. Another example in solution involved a Zr-F-C bridge [11]. Molybdcnum complexes of the type [MoCl(CO)₃{o-XC₆H₄N=CH(C₆H₃Cl₂-o)}] showed coordination of halogen to molybdenum(II) (when X=Cl, Br or I), but attempts to prepare the analogous aryl fluoride complex (X=F) were unsuccessful [7].

We report here a new method of generating fluorine atom coordination based on our phosphino hydrazone Z-PPh₂CH₂C(Bu^t)=NNH₂(1) [12]. Hydrazones are very nucleophilic and generally condense with aldehydes or ketones to give azines. These condensations are frequently rapid and go to completion. We anticipated therefore that Z-PPh₂CH₂C(Bu^t)=NNH₂(1) would condense with 2,6-difluorobenzaldehyde to give a mixed azine phosphine PPh₂CH₂C(Bu^t)=N-N=CH(C₆H₃F₂-2,6) (2a) of Z,E-configuration since the C₆H₃F₂ moiety is much more sterically demanding than H. Moreover, the mixed azine phosphine 2a would be expected to chelate to a metal through phosphorus and CH=N nitrogen to give a six-membered chelate ring, and therefore one would hope to force one of the two fluorine substituents (in the 2,6-positions) to be in close proximity to the metal and possibly coordinate to it. We have found this strategy to work very well with some ruthenium(II) complexes for which we get fluorine-ruthenium interaction and describe our results here.

2. Results and discussion

Treatment of the phosphino hydrazone 1 with 2,6difluorobenzaldehyde in ethanol gave the hoped for mixed azine phosphine 2a in 60% yield as a yellow crystalline solid. Further details are given in Section 3 as are elemental analytical and mass spectral data for this and other new compounds described in this paper. The various syntheses and reactions are summarised in Scheme 1. ³¹P{¹H} NMR and ¹⁹F{¹H} NMR data are given in Table 1 and proton NMR data (Table 2) were determined by recording both ¹H and ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectra. We reasoned that the labile complex $[RuCl_2(PPh_3)_3]$ [13,14], which is believed to show agostic interaction between an ortho-C-H bond and ruthenium(II), should undergo displacement of some of the PPh₃ ligands readily on treatment with an azine phosphine, such as 2a, and we have found this to be the case. Treatment of [RuCl₂(PPh₃)₃] with 2a in hot ben-





Table 1 ³¹P{¹H} NMR data * and ¹⁹F{¹H} NMR data ^b

	$\delta(\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{A}})$	$\delta(P_B)$	² J(PP)	$^{2}J(P_{A}F)$	δ(F)
1	-22.6(s)				
2a	- 11.9(s)				- 111.9(s)
2b	-11.6(s)				c
2c	-11.6(s)				-108.9(s)
2d	-10.7(s)				-120.9(s)
2e	- 10.9(s)				$-140.0(d), -146.0(d)^{d}$
3a °	84.6(dt)	45.0(d)	38	68	$-128.9(d)^{t}$
3b	85.2(dt)	41.9(d)	39	54	
3c	78.3(dd)	41.5(d)	39	51	-124.8(d) ^g
3d °	81.2(dd)	45.2(d)	37	44	-125.6(d) ^h
3e	79.9(dd)	45.3(d)	37	11	-137.3(d), -143.1(dd) ⁱ

* Recorded at 36.2 MHz, chemical shifts $\delta(P)$ are in ppm relative to 85% H₃PO₄, J values are in Hz, solvent CDCl₃ unless otherwise stated, s = singlet, d = doublet, dd = doublet of doublets, dt = doublet of triplets.

^b Recorded at 84.26 MHz, chemical shifts $\delta(F)$ are in ppm relative to CFCl₃, solvent CDCl₃, m=multiplet tt=triplet of triplets.

 $^{\circ}$ -141.3 (2F, m), -153.2 [1F, tt, $^{3}J(FF)=21$, $^{4}J(FF)=3$ Hz] and -163.6 (2F, m). Hz.

$$^{d} ^{3}J(FF) = 20$$

^e In CD₂Cl₂.

 $f^{2}J(P_{A}F) = 68$ Hz.

 ${}^{g}{}^{2}J(P_{A}F) = 50$ Hz.

 $^{h}^{2}J(P_{A}F) = 42$ Hz.

 $^{3}J(FF) = 20$ Hz and $^{2}J(P_{A}F) = 10$ Hz.

zene (60 °C) for ~1 min gave the mer, trans-ruthenium(II) complex $[RuCl_2(PPh_3)]{PPh_2CH_2C(Bu^t)=N N=CH(C_6H_3F_2-2,6)$] (3a) in 76% yield. The ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectrum of this complex showed a doublet of triplets for P_A , $\delta(P_A) = 84.6$ ppm with ${}^2J(P_AP_B) = 38$ and $^{2}J(P_{A}F) = 68$ Hz, and a doublet for P_{B} . The $^{19}F{^{1}H}$ NMR spectrum showed only one doublet fluorine resonance at -128.9 ppm with ${}^{2}J(P_{A}F) = 68$ Hz and $^{2}J(P_{\rm B}F) \sim 0$ Hz for both fluorines. The coupling constant $^{2}J(P_{A}P_{B})$ of 38 Hz shows that these two phosphorus ligands are mutually cis [15]. The CH₂ protons are equivalent and coupled only to P_A , ${}^2J(P_AH) = 14.4$ Hz (Table 2), as shown by selective decoupling of P_A . Interestingly, the HC=N proton is coupled to $P_{\rm B}$, ${}^{4}J(P_{B}H) = 6.8$ Hz, but not to P_{A} . Since the CH₂ protons are chemically equivalent, this suggests that the chloride ligands are mutually trans, and in agreement with this, there is a strong single IR band at 320 cm^{-1} typical of a trans-Cl-Ru-Cl moiety [15]. ¹³C{¹H} NMR data (see Section 3) for the $C_6H_3F_2$ moiety of 3a are as follows: C^1 appeared as a triplet at 111.7 ppm with $^{2}J(FC) = 13$ Hz; C² and C⁶ are chemically equivalent and appeared as a doublet of doublets at 159.8 ppm with ${}^{1}J(FC) = 252$ Hz and ${}^{3}J(FC)$ or ${}^{3}J(PC) = 6.5$ Hz; C³ and C⁵ are also chemically equivalent and appeared as a doublet of doublets at 112.3 ppm with ${}^{2}J(FC) = 23.5$ Hz and ${}^{4}J(FC)$ or ${}^{4}J(PC) = 2.7$ Hz and C⁴ appeared as a triplet at 133.6 ppm with ${}^{3}J(FC) = 11.7$ Hz. Even at -50 °C, the ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectrum (Fig. 1) does not

Table 2 Proton NMR data *

	$\delta(\operatorname{Bu}^{i})$	δ(CH ₂ P)	δ(CH=N)
1	0.98 (9H, s)	3.10 [2H, d, ² J(PH) 2.2]	
2a	1.29 (9H, s)	3.55 [2H, d, ² J(PH) 2.2]	7.89 (1H, s)
2b	1.30 (9H, s)	3.50 [2H, d, ² J(PH) 2.0]	7.79 (1H, s)
2c	1.29 (9H, s)	3.53 [2H, d, ² J(PH) 2.2]	8.01 (1H, s)
2đ	1.24 (9H, s)	3.50 [2H, d, ² J(PH) 2.7]	8.24 (1H, s)
2e	1.25 (9H, s)	3.49 [2H, d, ² J(PH) 2.4]	8.16 (1H, s)
3a ^b	0.72 (9H, s)	3.38 [2H, d, ² J(P _A H) 14.4]	8.84 [1H, d, ⁴ J(P _B H) 6.8]
3b	0.72 (9H, s)	3.33 [2H, d, ² J(P _A H) 14.5]	8.78 [1H, d, ⁴ J(P _B H) 6.4]
3c	0.74 (9H, s)	3.34 [2H, d, ${}^{2}J(P_{A}H)$ 14.0]	8.80 [1H, d, ⁴ J(P _B H) 6.8]
3d ^b	0.74 (9H, s)	3.42 [2H, d, ${}^{2}J(P_{A}H)$ 14.9]	9.13 [1H, d, ⁴ J(P _B H) 6.6]
3e	0.75 (9H, s)	3.40 [2H, d, ${}^{2}J(P_{A}H)$ 14.8]	9.27 [1H, d, ⁴ J(P _B H) 6.6]

^a Recorded at 100 MHz, chemical shifts are in ppm relative to SiMe₄, J values are in Hz, solvent CDCl₃ unless otherwise stated, s = singlet, d = doublet.

^b In CD₂Cl₂.



Fig. 1. ${}^{3}P{}^{1}H$ NMR spectrum of **3a** in CDCl₃ at 161.9 MHz and 223 K.

change, indicating that the two *ortho*-fluorines are coordinated to ruthenium in turn, and rotation around the aryl-C bond is rapid on the NMR timescale.

Similarly, pentafluorobenzaldehyde condensed with 1 to give 2b which reacted rapidly with $[RuCl_2(PPh_3)_3]$ to give 3b, in which both ortho-fluorines are coordinated to ruthenium in turn; characterising data are given in Section 3 and Tables 1 and 2. We have also made the mixed azine phosphine 2c from 2-chloro-6-fluorobenzaldehyde. This with $[RuCl_2(PPh_3)_3]$ gave 3c. ³¹P{¹H} NMR data for 3c showed that P_A is coupled to the fluorine $\delta(P_A) = 78.3$ (dd), ${}^2J(P_AF) = 51$ and $^{2}J(P_{A}P_{B}) = 39$ Hz. It is generally considered that the order of donor ability of halogen atoms in halocarbons is I > Br > Cl > F [3], but in the case of 3c the coupling between P_A and F is 50 Hz similar to the other orthofluoro-substituted benzaldehydes, suggesting that coordination of fluorine and chlorine takes place in turn due to the rapid rotation around the aryl-C bond and perhaps in this case coordination of F is stronger than Cl; even at $-50 \text{ °C } J(P_AF)$ is still ~50 Hz. We also made the mixed azine phosphines 2d and 2e, from 2-fluorobenzaldehyde and 2,3-difluorobenzaldehyde, and their ruthenium(II) complexes **3d** and **3e**, respectively. In each case coordination of fluorine to ruthenium was observed; characterising data are given in Section 3 and Tables 1 and 2.

Thus condensation of the phosphino hydrazone 1 with ortho-fluorobenzaldehydes gives mixed azine phosphines of type 2, which when complexed to ruthenium, show coordination of the ortho-fluorine(s) with rapid rotation around the fluoroaryl-carbon bond. Other halosubstituted aldehydes (or ketones) could probably be condensed with 1 and the resultant azine could complex to a metal showing halogen coordination to metal. We have shown that the mixed azine phosphines, derived from non-halogen-substituted benzaldehydes, when treated with $[RuCl_2PPh_3]_3$ similarly displaced two PPh₃ ligands, and resultant complexes showed agostic interactions (C-H-Ru) between ruthenium and orthohydrogens [16]. It is possible that in compounds 3d and 3e some interaction between Ru and an orthohydrogen is also occurring.

3. Experimental

All reactions were carried out in an inert atmosphere of dry nitrogen or dry argon. IR spectra were recorded using a Perkin-Elmer model 457 grating spectrometer. NMR spectra were recorded using a JEOL FX-90Q spectrometer (operating frequencies for ¹H, ¹⁹F and ³¹P of 89.5, 84.26 and 36.2 MHz), a JEOL FX-100 spectrometer (operating frequencies for ¹H and ³¹P of 99.5 and 40.25 MHz) or a Bruker AM400 spectrometer (operating frequencies for ¹H, ³¹P and ¹³C of 400.13, 161.9 and 100.6 MHz). ¹H and ¹³C chemical shifts are relative to tetramethylsilane, ³¹P shifts are relative to 85% phosphoric acids, ¹⁹F shifts are relative to CFCl₃ and all coupling constants are in Hz. Electron impact (EI) and fast atom bombardment (FAB) mass spectra were recorded using a VG Autospec spectrometer with 8 kV acceleration, and m/z values are quoted for ¹⁰²Ru.

3.1. Preparation of phosphine ligands

Z-PPh₂CH₂C(Bu^t)=NNH₂ (1) was prepared according to our published procedure [10].

Preparation of $Z_{,E}$ -PPh₂CH₂C(Bu^t)= $N-N=CH(C_6H_3-F_2-2,6)$ (2a)

2,6-Difluorobenzaldehyde (0.20 g, 0.15 cm³, 1.40 mmol) was added to a solution of Z-PPh₂CH₂C(Bu^t)=NNH₂ (1) (0.4 g, 1.35 mmol) in ethanol (~4 cm³) and the resultant yellow solution was cooled to -30 °C. The required mixed azine phosphine **2a** was obtained as a pale yellow crystalline solid (0.35 g, 62%). Anal. Found: C, 71.0; H, 6.1; N, 6.5. Calc. for C₂₅H₂₅F₂N₂P: C, 71.05; H, 5.9; N, 6.65%. m/z (EI): 422 (M^+) and 365 (M-Bu^t).

Similarly, the following mixed azine phosphines were prepared and isolated as yellow crystalline solids.

Preparation of Z, E-PPh₂CH₂C(Bu')= $N-N=CH(C_6F_5)$ (2b)

Yield 62%. Anal. Found: C, 63.15; H, 4.75; N, 5.65. Calc. for $C_{25}H_{22}F_5N_2P$: C, 63.0; H, 4.65; N, 5.9%. m/z (EI): 476 (M^+) and 419 ($M-Bu^t$).

Preparation of Z, E-PPh₂CH₂C(Bu')= $N-N=CH(C_6H_3-Cl-2, F-6)$ (2c)

Yield 56%. Anal. Found: C, 68.5; H, 5.85; Cl, 7.95; N, 6.45. Calc. for $C_{25}H_{25}ClFN_2P$: C, 68.4; H, 5.75; Cl, 8.1; N, 6.4%. m/z (EI): 437 (M-1) and 381 ($M-Bu^i$).

Preparation of Z, E-PPh₂CH₂C(Bu')= $N-N=CH(C_6H_4-F-2)$ (2d)

Yield 81%. Anal. Found: C, 73.85; H, 6.25; N, 7.15. Calc. for $C_{25}H_{26}FN_2P$: C, 74.25; H, 6.5; N, 6.9%. m/z(EI): 404 (M^+) and 347 (M-Bu^t).

Preparation of Z, E-PPh₂CH₂C(Bu') = $N-N=CH(C_6H_3-F_2-2,3)$ (2e)

Yield 71%. Anal. Found: C, 71.05; H, 6.0; N, 6.45. Calc. for $C_{25}H_{25}F_2N_2P$: C, 71.05; H, 5.9; N, 6.65%. m/ z (EI): 423 (M+1) and 365 (M-Bu^t).

3.2. Preparation of ruthenium(II) complexes

Mer, trans- $[RuCl_2(PPh_3){PPh_2CH_2C(Bu')=N-N=CH-(C_6H_3F_2-2,6)}]$ (3a)

[RuCl₂(PPh₃)₃] [12] (80 mg, 0.083 mmol) and the azine phosphine **2a** (36 mg, 0.085 mmol) were warmed (~60 °C) in benzene (~2 cm³) for 1 min. The resulting cherry red solution was concentrated to a low volume (~0.5 cm³). The addition of cyclohexane (~1.5 cm³)

to the residue gave the mer, trans-ruthenium(II) complex 3a as red microcrystals (54 mg, 76%). Anal. Found: C, 62.35; H, 5.25; Cl, 7.75; N, 3.15. Calc. for C43H40Cl2F2N2P2Ru · 0.75C6H6: C, 62.3; H, 4.9; Cl, 7.75; N, 3.05%. m/z (FAB): 856 (M^+), 821 (M-Cl) and 785 (M-Cl-HCl). ν (Ru-Cl) 320 cm⁻¹. ¹³C{¹H} NMR (100.6 MHz, CD_2Cl_2), δ_C (ppm): 27.3 [3C, s, CMe_3], 32.6 [1C, d, ${}^{1}J(P_{A}C)$ 24.4, CH₂], 40.0 [1C, d, ${}^{3}J(P_{A}C)$ 1.8, CMe₃], 111.7 [1C, t, ²J(FC) 13.0, C¹], 112.3 [2C, dd, ²J(FC) 23.5, ⁴J(FC) or ⁴J(PC) 2.7, C³ and C⁵], 127.5 $[6C, d, {}^{3}J(P_{B}C) 9.5, C_{meta}], 127.6 [4C, d, {}^{3}J(P_{A}C) 10.5,$ C_{meta}], 129.5 [3C, d, ⁴J(P_BC) 2.1, C_{para}], 130.6 [2C, d, ⁴J(P_AC) 2.2, C_{para}], 133.6 [1C, t, ³J(FC) 11.7, C⁴], 133.6 [3C, d, ¹J(P_BC) 42.6, C_{ipso}], 134.3 [2C, d, ¹J(P_AC) 51.2, C_{ipso}], 134.6 [4C, d, ²J(P_AC) 9.2, C_{ortho}], 135.4 [6C, d, $^{2}J(P_{B}C)$ 9.6, C_{ortho}], 153.6 (1C, s, HC=N), 159.8 [2C, dd, ¹J(FC) 252.0, ³J(FC) or ³J(PC) 6.5, C² and C⁶], 174.3 (1C, s, $Bu^{t}C=N$).

The following *mer,trans*-ruthenium(II) complexes were prepared similarly.

Mer, trans-[$RuCl_2(PPh_3)$ { $PPh_2CH_2C(Bu')=N-N=CH-(C_6F_5)$ }] (3b)

Yield 64%. Anal. Found: C, 59.8; H, 4.45; Cl, 6.9; N, 2.6. Calc. for $C_{43}H_{37}Cl_2F_5N_2P_2Ru \cdot 1.0C_6H_6$: C, 59.5; H, 4.4; Cl, 7.15; N, 2.85%. *m/z* (FAB): 910 (*M*⁺), 874 (*M*-HCl), 839 (*M*-Cl-HCl). ν (Ru-Cl) 315 cm⁻¹.

Mer, trans- $[RuCl_2(PPh_3){PPh_2CH_2C(Bu')=N-N=CH-(C_6H_3Cl-2, F-6)}]$ (3c)

Yield 84%. Anal. Found: C, 59.05; H, 4.4; N, 3.0. Calc. for $C_{43}H_{40}Cl_3FN_2P_2Ru$: C, 59.15; H, 4.6; N, 3.2%. m/z (FAB): 872 (M^+), 837 (M-Cl), 801 (M-Cl-HCl). ν (Ru-Cl) 315 cm⁻¹.

Mer, trans-[$RuCl_2(PPh_3)$ { $PPh_2CH_2C(Bu')=N-N=CH-(C_6H_4F-2)$ }] (3d)

Yield 69%. Anal. Found: C, 63.55; H, 4.95; Cl, 7.8; N, 3.15. Calc. for $C_{43}H_{41}Cl_2FN_2P_2Ru \cdot 0.75C_6H_6$: C, 63.55; H, 5.1; Cl, 7.9; N, 3.1%. m/z (FAB): 838 (M^+), 802 (M-HCl) and 767 (M-Cl-HCl). ν (Ru-Cl) 315 cm⁻¹.

Mer, trans- $[RuCl_2(PPh_3){PPh_2CH_2C(Bu')=N-N=CH-(C_6H_3F_2-2,3)}]$ (3e)

Yield 83%. Anal. Found: C, 60.1; H, 4.6; Cl, 8.1; N, 3.05. Calc. for $C_{43}H_{40}Cl_2F_2N_2P_2Ru$: C, 60.3; H, 4.7; Cl, 8.25; N, 3.25%. m/z (FAB): 856 (M^+), 820 (M-HCl), 785 (M-Cl-HCl). ν (Ru–Cl) 320 cm⁻¹.

Acknowledgements

We thank Johnson Matthey plc for the generous loan of ruthenium salts and the SERC for a fellowship (to S.D.P.).

References

- [8] R. Uson, J. Fornies, M. Tomas, F.A. Cotton and L.R. Falvello, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 106 (1984) 2482.
- [1] R.H. Crabtree, J.W. Faller, M.F. Mellea and J.M. Quirk, Organometallics, 1 (1982) 1361.
- [2] M.J. Burk, B. Segmuller and R.H. Crabtree, Organometallics, 6 (1987) 2241.
- [3] R.J. Kulawicc and R.H. Carbtree, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 99 (1990) 89.
- [4] C.H. Winter, W.R. Veal, C.M. Garner, A.M. Arif and J.A. Gladysz, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 111 (1989) 4766.
- [5] R.J. Kulawiec, J.W. Faller and R.H. Crabtree, Organometallics, 9 (1990) 745.
- [6] T.M. Gomes-Carneiro, R.D. Jackson, J.H. Downing, A.G. Orpen and P.G. Pringle, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., (1991) 317.
- [7] R. Harrison, A.M. Arif, G. Wulfsberg, R. Lang, T. Ju, G. Kiss, C.D. Hoff and T.C. Richmond, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., (1992) 1374.

- [9] R.M. Catala, D.M. Cruz-Garritz, A. Hills, D.L. Hughes, R.L. Richards, P. Sosa and H. Torrens, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., (1987) 261.
- [10] R.J. Kulawiec, E.M. Holt, M. Lavin and R.H. Crabtree, *Inorg. Chem.*, 26 (1987) 2559.
- [11] A.R. Siedel, R.A. Newmark and W.M. Lamanna, Organometallics, 12 (1993) 1491.
- [12] K.K. Hii, S.D. Perera, B.L. Shaw and M. Thornton-Pett, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., (1992) 2361.
- [13] S.J. LaPlaca and J.A. Ibers, Inorg. Chem., 4 (1965) 778.
- [14] P.S. Hallman, T.A. Stephenson and G. Wilkinson, *Inorg. Synth.*, 12 (1970) 237.
- [15] E. Lindner, A. Mockel, H.A. Mayer, H. Kuhbauch, R. Fawzi and M. Steimann, *Inorg. Chem.*, 32 (1993) 1266, and refs. therein.
- [16] S.D. Perera and B.L. Shaw, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., (1994) 1201.